

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/513,462	02/25/2000	Markus Lautenbacher	P00.0289	7313
31518	590 12/27/2002			
NEIFELD IP LAW, PC CRYSTAL PLAZA 1, SUITE 1001 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			BACKER, FIRMIN	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/513,462	LAUTENBACHER, MARKUS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Firmin Backer	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u></u>				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 i	November 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has beer	received.			
Attachment(s)	33				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3621

Response to Request for Reconsideration

This is in response to a request for reconsideration file November 22nd, 2002. Claims 1-14 are being reconsidered in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Halpern et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,711).
- 3. As per claims 1, Halpern et al teach a method of using software products that are offered via a network (see abstract, fig 1) comprising inquiring about a software (software components)

Art Unit: 3621

product from an offer server (remote server, 102) by a user via a terminal device (client system 101) downloading (downloading) the software product from the offer server via the network onto the terminal device in response to the inquiry of the user (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47) activating (installing) a software component (subset) of the software product; starting a communication by way of the software component with a usage processing server regarding a usage of the software product in response to a call of the software product in the terminal device of the user (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47); providing, by the software component in a framework of the communication, data to the usage processing server; and checking the data, by the usage processing server, and then making a determination selected from the group consisting of: whether usage of the software product is approved with respect to the inquiring user, and whether charging operations are carried out on user accounts and provider of software product accounts (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 6 line 29-67).

- 4. As per claims 2 and 3, Halpern et al teach a method further comprising operating the usage processing and the offer server by a network provider (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).
- 5. As per claims 4, Halpern et al teach a method further comprising using a web server for a server selected from the group consisting of the offer server and the usage processing server (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).

Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

- 6. As per claims 5, Halpern et al teach a usage processing server comprising: a usage processing module for processing a software product downloaded from a network; wherein the usage processing server is contacted by the software product after the software product has been downloaded into a terminal device of a user and has been activated; and wherein usage processing data required to perform usage processing are delivered to the usage processing server (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).
- 7. As per claims 6, Halpern et al teach a usage processing server further comprising: a data store in which a software product identification of the software product and type of usage processing data that prescribe a type of usage processing of the software product are stored by the usage processing module, and wherein the usage processing module registers the software product (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).
- 8. As per claims 7, Halpern et al teach a usage processing server wherein: the usage processing data required comprises a software product identification of the software product and a user identification (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).
- 9. As per claims 8, Halpern et al teach a usage processing server wherein: the usage processing comprises performing an access control (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).

Art Unit: 3621

10. As per claims 9, Halpern et al teach a usage processing server wherein: the usage processing comprises performing a usage charging of the software product on user accounts and provider accounts (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).

Page 5

- 11. As per claims 10, Halpern et al teach a usage processing server according to claim 5, wherein the usage processing module keeps statistics about usage contacts that have taken place and about results of a processing of the usage contacts (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).
- 12. As per claims 11, Halpern et al teach a software product, comprising: a software component that is activated when called by the software product and that subsequently starts communicating with a usage process server and delivers usage processing data required for performing usage processing to the usage processing server in the framework of the communication; wherein the software product can be downloaded into a terminal device by a user via a network in response to an inquiry from the user (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).
- 13. As per claims 12, Halpern et al teach a software product wherein the usage processing data comprises: software product provider data; and software product identification; and wherein the usage processing data is dynamically determined user data (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).

Art Unit: 3621

14. As per claims 13, Halpern et al teach a software product wherein the software component interacts with the user to produce the dynamically determined user data (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47).

Page 6

15. As per claims 14, Halpern et al teach a method for the generation of a software product that is offered via a network (see abstract, fig 1), comprising the steps of installing a software component in source code of the software product of a software manufacturer by using a software development kit provided by a usage processing provider (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 4 lines 44-5 line 47). activating the software component when called by the software product; starting a communication by the software component with a usage processing server after the step of activating the software component; sending, by the software component, usage processing data that are required for performing usage processing to the usage processing server in the framework of the communication (see abstract, fig 1, 2, column 6 line 29-67).

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed November 22nd, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicant argues that the prior art (Halpern et al.) fail to teach starting a communication by way of the software component with a usage processing server regarding a usage of the software product in response to a call of the software product in the terminal device of the user and the usage processing server is contacted by the

Art Unit: 3621

software product after the software product has been downloaded into a terminal device of a user and has been activated, further usage processing data required to perform usage processing are delivered to the usage processing server starting a communication by the software component with a usage processing server after activating the software component; sending, by the software component, usage processing data that are required for performing usage processing to the usage processing server in the framework of the communication. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant characterization of Halpern et al's inventive concept. Halpern et al. teach an inventive concept of delivered installation package contains only the programs, data, and local installation tools required for the user's unique installation requirements. The user initiates the installation process by connecting to the remote server system via a telecommunications link within a distributed processing network, such as the Internet. Engaging in a dialog with the server

which provides informational links to server-side databases, the user chooses all software

components and options that he desires his software package to have. Such a package

may be, for example, a subset of a software suite. After selection of all options, a single

Conclusion

package is manufactured on the server (see abstract).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JOHN W. HAYES

John W. Hayes

Primary Examiner